

### REMARK/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner is thanked for the thorough examination of this application and the indication that claims 6, 7, 14, and 15 contained allowable subject matter. The Office Action, however, rejected Claims 1-5, 8-13 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Cheng (US 6,406,182) in view of Denebeim (US 5,479,951). Furthermore, the Office Action has rejected claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Denebeim (US 5,479,951).

The Examiner stated, however, that Claims 6-7 and 14-15 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims in this Office Action.

Claims 1-17 have been cancelled and replaced with new claims 18-34. Independent claim 18 embodies the substance of allowable claim 6, and independent claims 26 embodies the substance of allowable claim 7. As claims 19-25 and 27-33 depend from allowable independent claims 18 and 26, respectively, all claims 18-33 are in immediate condition for allowance. With regard to new claim 34, the limitation **“wherein a space formed between the hollow pipe and the main portion of the deflectable member for deformation of the main portion to prevent the deflectable member being pushed into the hollow cavity and thereby avoid the lead wires being easily cut off”** defines over the cited art of record, and can be found on page 9, lines 17-22. Therefore, Applicant submits that no new matter has been added, and it is respectfully that these rejections and objections be withdrawn.


The Applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claims clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all pending claims are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this amendment and response to Office Action. If, however, any fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Daniel R. McClure  
Registration No. 38,962

**Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP**  
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
770-933-9500